

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,205	05/02/2001	Hoon Lee	11349-P66632US0	7246
7:	590 09/21/2004	EXAMINER		
•	PRICE, HOLMAN	PERILLA, JASON M		
PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPLANY 400 Seventh Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/846,205	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Jason M Perilla	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. r. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt. beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON that tale, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (	02 May 2001.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	,				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 May 2001 is/are		ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/2/01.</li> </ul>	'	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/846,205 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-9 are pending in the instant application.

## **Priority**

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on March 3, 2003 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

#### **Drawings**

- 4. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: **207 of figure 2 (page 10, line 18)**. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid

Application/Control Number: 09/846,205 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Objections

6. Claims 2-5 and 7-9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 2, in line 1, "wherein data transmission rate" should be replaced by –wherein a data transmission rate--; in line 3, "equal to sum of" should be replaced by –equal to a sum of--; and in line 3, "rates of the band" should be replaced by –rates of each of the band— for clarity of the claim language.

Regarding claim 3, in line 3, "matching transmission rate" should be replaced by -equally— for clarity of the claim language.

Regarding claims 4, 5, 8 and 9, the phrase "in unit of byte" should be replaced by —in units of bytes— in each claim for clarity.

Claim 7 is related to the band multiplexing means although it should be related to the band distributing means. Further, the term "matching" in line 3 should be replaced by –equal—for clarity of the claim language.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

8. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bremer (US 4464767) in view of Samueli et al (US 6144712 – IDS reference AA; hereafter "Samueli").

Regarding claim 1, Bremer discloses by figure 3 a QAM (Quadrature Amplitude Modulation) transmitting apparatus having a multiplicity of transmission bands (abstract), comprising: band splitting means (ref. 28; col. 2, lines 14-20) for distributing TX data ("binary data") to a predetermined number of band TX processing means (refs. 22, 24, and 26); the band TX processing means symbol-encoding the output data of the band splitting means ("QAM Level Encoder"), and converting the TX data to a passband signal ("QAM Filter and Carrier Modulator"); and synthesizing means (38) for synthesizing the passband signal outputted from a predetermined number of the band TX processing means (col. 2, lines 28-32). The "QAM Filter and Carrier Modulator" contained in each of the QAM modulators illustrated in figure 3 converts the TX data to a passband or, equivalently, modulates the signals onto a carrier in a frequency band which may be transmitted. Bremer does not disclose a) a QAM transmitting apparatus having variable transmission rates; b) TC (Transmission Convergence) sub-layer means for performing frame processing and error correction for TX (transmitting) data; c) pulse-shaping and interpolating the symbol-encoded data; or d) digital-to-analog

converting and outputting means for converting the synthesized digital TX data to an analog synthesized TX signal to output. However, regarding limitation a), Samueli teaches a variable rate QAM transmitter (abstract) by figure 1 (col. 2, lines 40-45). Samueli teaches that a variable rate QAM transmitter may take a variable rate data stream as input (i.e. 0.1-20 megabits/sec; col. 1, lines 25-30). Using a variable rate transmitter allows the data being introduced to the system to change with time. Regarding limitation b), Samueli teaches a sub-layer (TC) means (fig. 1, ref. 16; col. 2. lines 49-55) for performing frame processing ("inserting preamble") and error correction for transmitting data. Samueli teaches the use of a frame processor and error correction encoder to condition the data to be transmitted for the correct reception of the data on the side of the receiver. Regarding limitation c), Samueli illustrates and teaches pulse-shaping (fig. 1, refs. 24 and 26; col. 3, lines 1-2) and interpolating the symbolencoded data (fig. 1, refs, 28 and 30; col. 3, lines 5-17) because pulse-shaping filters the data to remove unwanted frequencies and interpolating conditions the data to have a proper common sampling interval for modulating and digital-to-analog conversion. Regarding limitation d), Samueli teaches digital-to-analog converting and outputting means (fig. 1, ref. 40) for converting the synthesized digital TX data to an analog synthesized TX signal to output (fig. 1, ref. 42) because the digital information must be converted into analog form before it may be transmitted on a channel. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made to use a variable rate QAM transmitter as taught by Samueli, which meet the limitations of a) - d) above, as the QAM transmitters (fig. 3, refs. 22, 24, and

26) of Bremer because they could advantageously be used to transmit data at various data rates according to the amount of data which is to be transmitted.

Regarding claim 2, Bremer in view of Samueli disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, in the apparatus of Bremer in view of Samueli, it is inherent that the data transmission rate of the TC sub-layer means is equal to sum of data transmission rates of the band TX processing means. The TC sub-layer means may be applied before the band splitting means. Therefore, the TC sub-layer supplies all of the data to the band splitting means and, hence, to all of the band TX processing means.

Regarding claim 3, Bremer in view of Samueli disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Bremer in view of Samueli do not expressly disclose that that the band splitting means distributes the TX data equally to each of the band TX processing means. However, it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the band splitting means distributes the TX data equally to each of the band TX processing means because each of the processing means could thereby utilize the same system clock to simplify the design.

9. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bremer in view of Samueli, and in further view of Kaku et al (US 5987064; hereafter "Kaku").

Regarding claim 4, Bremer in view of Samueli disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Bremer in view of Samueli do not expressly disclose that the band splitting means distributes the TX data to each of the band TX processing means in units of bytes. However, Kaku discloses an exemplary embodiment of a 256 QAM (1

byte per symbol) constellation used in a QAM transmitter (fig. 6; col. 2, lines 60-65; col. 4, lines 60-65) for a modem. Further, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to distribute the TX data to each of the band TX processing means in units of bytes as suggested by Kaku. Applicant has not disclosed that distributing the TX data to each of the band TX processing means in units of bytes provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected the transmitter of Bremer in view of Samueli to perform equally well with distributing the TX data to each of the band TX processing means in units of bytes because a QAM transmitter can rely upon any constellation size (bits per symbol) limited only by the transmission channel conditions and it is advantageous to transmit the greatest bits per symbol possible for the largest possible transmission rates.

Regarding claim 5, Bremer in view of Samueli disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Bremer in view of Samueli do not expressly disclose that the band TX processing means encodes the TX data in units of bytes. However, Kaku teaches an exemplary embodiment of a 256 QAM (1 byte per symbol) constellation used in a QAM transmitter (fig. 6; col. 2, lines 60-65; col. 4, lines 60-65) for a modem. Further, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to encode the TX data in units of bytes as suggested by Kaku. Applicant has not disclosed that encoding the TX data in units of bytes provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected the transmitter of Bremer in view of Samueli to

perform equally well with encoding the TX data in units of bytes because a QAM transmitter can rely upon any constellation size (bits per symbol) limited only by the transmission channel conditions and it is advantageous to transmit the greatest bits per symbol possible for the largest possible transmission rates.

10. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bremer in view of Samueli, and in further view of Yagi (US 5995168).

Regarding claim 6, Bremer in view of Samueli disclose the limitations of claim 1 as applied above which provide for a QAM transmitting apparatus having a multiplicity of transmission bands. In light of the transmission apparatus of Bremer in view of Samueli, although it is not explicitly disclosed by such figures, it is implied and would have been at least obvious to implement a corresponding receiving apparatus to receive the signal transmitted. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made to implement a corresponding receiving apparatus to the transmission apparatus (claim 1) of Bremer in view of Samueli comprising a QAM receiving apparatus having a multiplicity of transmission bands with variable transmission rates because it would provide utility for the transmission. The obvious receiving apparatus of Bremer in view of Samueli would be the inverse of the transmission apparatus to one having ordinary skill in the art, and the references cited below are the corresponding references in the transmission apparatus. Hence, the receiving apparatus would be comprising: analog-to-digital converting means (Samueli; fig. 1, ref. 40) for converting an analog signal received through a transmission line to a digital RX (receiving) signal; band distributing means (Bremer;

"synthesizing means", fig. 3, ref. 38) for distributing the digital RX signal to a predetermined number of band RX processing means; the band RX processing means (Bremer; fig. 3, refs. 22, 24, and 26) for converting the RX signal distributed from the band distributing means to a baseband signal (Bremer; fig. 3, "Carrier Modulator") and converting the compensated RX signal by QAM-decoding to a symbol (Bremer; fig. 3, "QAM Level Encoder"); band multiplexing means for multiplexing the output data from the predetermined number of the band RX processing means (Bremer; fig. 3, ref. 28); and TC (Transmission Convergence) sub-layer means for performing frame processing and error correction for the multiplexed RX data from the band multiplexing means (Samueli; fig. 1, ref. 16; col. 2, lines 49-55). The QAM receiving apparatus of Bremer in view of Samueli does not disclose compensating signal distortion of the baseband signal caused by the transmission line. However, Yagi teaches a QAM receiver by figure 1 having a well known digital equalizer (104) which compensates signal distortion of the baseband signal. Yagi teaches that the digital equalizer performs adaptive equalization of amplitude delay to correct for distortions which occur in the transmission path (col. 3, lines 46-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made to utilize an adaptive equalizer as taught by Yagi in the QAM receiver of Bremer in view of Samueli because it would compensate for the signal distortion caused by the transmission line to provide better symbol decisions.

Page 9

Regarding claim 7, Bremer in view of Samueli and in further view of Yagi disclose the limitations of claim 6 as applied above. Bremer in view of Samueli and in further

view of Yagi do not expressly disclose that the band distributing means would distribute the RX data to each of the band RX processing means equally. However it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill that the band distributing means would distribute the RX data to each of the band RX processing means equally because each of the RX processing means could thereby utilize identical clock signals for simplicity.

11. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bremer in view of Samueli, in further view of Yagi, and in further view of Kaku.

Regarding claim 8, Bremer in view of Samueli disclose the limitations of claim 6 as applied above. Further, it would have been obvious that the band distributing means distributes the RX data to the TC sub-layer means in units of bytes as applied to claim 4 above.

Regarding claim 9, Bremer in view of Samueli disclose the limitations of claim 6 as applied above. Further, it would have been obvious that the band RX processing means decodes the RX data in units of bytes as applied to claim 5 above.

#### Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following prior art of record not relied upon above is cited to further show the state of the art with respect to variable transmission rate QAM systems.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 5783974 to Koslov et al.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 5694419 to Lawrence et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M Perilla whose telephone number is (571) 272-3055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason M. Perilla September 9, 2004

jmp

CHIEH M. FAN

Chreh Min Fan